

On the Positivstellensatz for Enveloping Algebras

J. NAHAS*

Email: joules.nahas@epfl.ch

ABSTRACT. We use Reznick's Theorem for positive homogeneous polynomials to prove an elliptic regularity result for representations of enveloping algebras of Lie algebras. This allows us to relax a technical condition for a sum of squares decomposition for representations of these algebras.

Keywords: Positivstellensatz, enveloping algebras

A.M.S. subject classification: 47L60, 14P10

[\(address*\)](#)J. Nahas|École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne
MA B1 487
CH-1015 Lausanne

1. INTRODUCTION

For a $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A} , we say that $x \in \mathcal{A}$ is a sum of squares if there exist $y_1, \dots, y_k \in \mathcal{A}$ so that

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^k y_i^* y_i. \quad (1)$$

In a representation of \mathcal{A} on a Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, it is easy to see from (1) that x would be a positive operator. Because of this, results that classify elements of algebras as a sum of squares are called *positivstellensatz*. For a review of some of the excellent work done in this area, we refer to [?] and references therein. Positivstellensätze are particularly useful because of their relation to optimization problems. In the case of algebras of polynomials, sums of squares decompositions are used to extremize polynomials in works such as [?] and [?]. These methods have been adapted (for example in [?], and [?]) to the corresponding problem for noncommutative algebras: given $x \in \mathcal{A}$, for representations Φ of \mathcal{A} on a Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, find

$$\inf_{v \in \mathcal{H}, \Phi} \langle v, \Phi(x) v \rangle. \quad (2)$$

Just as in the case for polynomials, positivstellensätze play an important role for efficiently solving (2).

*. The author would like to thank Mihai Putinar for introducing him to this subject, and for many fruitful discussions. He would also like to thank the referee for valuable comments that helped to improve this work.

In [?] and [?], Schmüdgen classified strictly positive elements of (respectively) the canonical representation of the Weyl algebra, and representations of enveloping algebras of an arbitrary finite dimensional Lie algebra, $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$. The results in [?] and [?] require a strong form of positivity in order to hold. In [?], we relaxed this strong positivity condition for the positivstellensatz of the Weyl algebra. The same condition is needed for the case of representations of $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$, but the techniques in [?] are too specific to generalize to all $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$. In this paper, we develop different tools that allow us to relax the strong positivity condition for any $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$.

The precise statement of the positivstellensatz in [?] requires some notation. Let G denote a connected, simply connected Lie group, and \mathfrak{g} the corresponding Lie algebra. Let \hat{G} denote the set of equivalence classes of unitary representations of G . We pick a basis x_1, \dots, x_d for \mathfrak{g} , and make $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$ into a $*$ -algebra by defining $x_i^* = -x_i$.

Let $x_0 = I$, and $a = x_0^2 + x_1^* x_1 + \dots + x_d^* x_d = I - x_1^2 - \dots - x_d^2$. We will often use the fact that a commutes with all elements of $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$. Let S denote a right Ore subset of $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g}) \setminus \{0\}$ containing a . Denote by f an r -tuple (f_1, \dots, f_r) where $f_i \in \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and $f_1 = 1$. Let

$$\mathcal{K}_f = \{\kappa \in \hat{G} : dU_\kappa(f_1) \geq 0, \dots, dU_\kappa(f_r) \geq 0\}, \quad (3)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_f = \{z = \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{l=1}^r z_{jl}^* f_l z_{jl} : z_{jl} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g}), k \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g}; f)_+ = \{z \in \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})_h : dU_\kappa(z) \geq 0 \text{ for } \kappa \in \mathcal{K}_f\}. \quad (5)$$

Let γ be the vector space homomorphism from $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$ to $\mathbb{C}[t_1, \dots, t_d]$ that sends $x_1^{n_1} \dots x_d^{n_d}$ to $(it_1)^{n_1} \dots (it_d)^{n_d}$. The image of γ is similar to the graded algebra associated to the canonical filtration of $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$ (see Section 2.3 in [?]), which gives us a notion of degree on $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$. Let $c_n(t)$ denote the degree n part of $\gamma(c)(t)$. The strict positivstellensatz in [?] is stated as follows.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that c is a hermitean element of the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$ of even degree $2m$ satisfying the following assumptions:*

1. *There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $c - \epsilon I \in \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g}; f)_+$.*
2. *$c_{2m}(t) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t \neq 0$.*

If m is even, there exists an element $s \in S$ such that $s^ c s \in \mathcal{T}_f$. If m is odd, there is an $s \in S$ such that*

$$\sum_{k=0}^d s^* x_k^* c x_k s \in \mathcal{T}_f. \quad (6)$$

For a representation U of G on a Hilbert space, let $\mathcal{D}^\infty(U)$ denote the set of smooth vectors, and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the inner product. In this paper, we relax the first condition of this result to prove the following positivstellensatz.

Theorem 2. Suppose that c is a hermitean element of the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$ of even degree $2m$ satisfying the following assumptions:

1. For all $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}_f$ and nonzero $\phi \in \mathcal{D}^\infty(U_\kappa)$, $\langle \phi, dU_\kappa(c) \phi \rangle > 0$.
2. $c_{2m}(t) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t \neq 0$.

If m is even, there exists an element $s \in S$ such that $s^* c s \in \mathcal{T}_f$. If m is odd, there is an $s \in S$ such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^d s^* x_k^* c x_k s \in \mathcal{T}_f.$$

The proof of Theorem 2 closely follows the proof of Theorem 2. In the following subsection, we briefly discuss the proof of Theorem 2, explaining what changes are needed to prove Theorem 2.

1.1. Sketch of the proof of Theorem 2.

Let $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}_f$. From the corresponding representation dU_κ on the $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$, we construct a $*$ -algebra \mathcal{X} that acts on $\mathcal{D}^\infty(U_\kappa)$. Let $Y = dU_\kappa(a)^{-1}$. Then \mathcal{X} is generated by I , $Y_{kl} \equiv dU_\kappa(x_k) dU_\kappa(x_l) Y$, and $Y_{-l-k} \equiv Y dU_\kappa(x_l) dU_\kappa(x_k)$ with $Y_{kl}^* \equiv Y_{-l-k}$. It can be shown that the algebra \mathcal{X} is algebraically bounded.

If $p \in \mathbb{N}$ obeys $4p > d \deg(f_l)$, then by direct calculation, it follows that $Y^p dU_\kappa(f_l) Y^p \in \mathcal{X}$. This allows us to define \mathcal{C}_f as the subset of \mathcal{X} where any element is a finite sum of $X^* X$ and $Z^* Y^p dU_\kappa(f_l) Y^p Z$, for $X, Z \in \mathcal{X}$ and $l = 1, \dots, r$. The main part of the proof consists of showing that $Y^m dU_\kappa(c) Y^m \in \mathcal{C}_f$.

Because \mathcal{X} is algebraically bounded, there is a functional F on \mathcal{X} that is positive in the wedge \mathcal{C}_f , but nonpositive outside of it. Using the GNS construction for the functional F , we obtain a $*$ -representation π_F of \mathcal{X} on the Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, with cyclic vector φ . This representation is a direct sum of representations π_∞ on $\ker \pi_F(Y)$, and π_0 on $\mathcal{H}_0 \equiv (\ker \pi_F(Y))^\perp$.

Suppose to the contrary that there is some $c \in \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$ that satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2, but $Y^m dU_\kappa(c) Y^m \in \mathcal{X}$ lies outside the wedge \mathcal{C}_f . From the GNS construction,

$$F(X) = \langle \pi_0(X) \varphi_0, \varphi_0 \rangle + \langle \pi_\infty(X) \varphi_\infty, \varphi_\infty \rangle, \text{ for } X \in \mathcal{X}. \quad (7)$$

Showing that both terms in the right hand side of (7) are positive for $X = Y^m dU_\kappa(c) Y^m$ contradicts the fact that $Y^m dU_\kappa(c) Y^m$ is outside \mathcal{C}_f .

The positive ϵ in the first condition of Theorem 2 is only used in the proof that $\langle \pi_0(x) \varphi_0, \varphi_0 \rangle > 0$. We need to conclude that if

$$\forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}^\infty(U_\kappa), \phi \neq 0, \langle \pi_0(Y^m dU_\kappa(c) Y^m) \phi, \phi \rangle > 0, \quad (8)$$

then

$$\forall \phi \in \mathcal{H}_0, \phi \neq 0, \langle \pi_0(Y^m dU_\kappa(c) Y^m) \phi, \phi \rangle > 0. \quad (9)$$

In order to prove Theorem 2, it suffices to show that if

$$\langle \pi_0(Y^m dU_\kappa(c) Y^m) \phi, \phi \rangle = 0, \quad (10)$$

then $\phi \in \mathcal{D}^\infty(U_\kappa)$.

1.2. Outline and notation.

We prove Theorem 2 by studying regularity properties of solutions $u \in \mathcal{H}$ to equations of the form

$$dV(p)u = \psi, \quad (11)$$

for $p \in \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$, and V a unitary representation of G . In order to do this, we use some techniques from real algebraic geometry and functional analysis, which are introduced in Section 2 and Section 3 respectively. Regularity properties and our main result, Theorem 2, are proven in Section 4. In addition to the notation already introduced, we denote by C_0 a constant that may change from line to line. An arbitrary unitary representation of G on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} will be denoted by V , and B will denote $\overline{dV(a)}$. We use $A \preceq D$ for $A, D \in dV(\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g}))$ to mean that for all $f \in \mathcal{D}^\infty(V)$,

$$\langle f, Af \rangle \leq \langle f, Df \rangle. \quad (12)$$

For an operator $A \in dV(\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g}))$, we denote the spectral projection for A on $[-\Lambda, \Lambda]$ by $\Pi_{|A| \leq \Lambda}$.

2. SOME REAL ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY TOOLS

Let $p \in \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$ with $\gamma(p)(t) > 0$ for $t \neq 0$. We expect that the positivity of $\gamma(p)(t)$ should somehow be reflected in p . The fact that positive polynomials can be written as a sum of squares of rational functions serves as an algebraic characterization of positivity that can in part be transferred to p . For positive homogeneous polynomials, by a celebrated result of Reznick (see [?]), we can make the sum of squares of rational functions more explicit.

Lemma 3. *Suppose $p(t) \in \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_d]$ is of degree $2m$, homogeneous, and obeys $p(t) > 0$ for $t \neq 0$. Then there exists a k so that*

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^d t_i^2\right)^n p(t) = \sum_{l=1}^k q_l^2(t), \quad (13)$$

for some $q_l(t) \in \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_d]$.

Positive homogeneous polynomials also have the advantage that they are stable under certain perturbations.

Lemma 4. *Suppose $p(t) \in \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_d]$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $2m$ that satisfies $p(t) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t \neq 0$. Then there exists a $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ so that the polynomial*

$$p(t) - \lambda \left(\sum_{i=1}^d t_i^2\right)^m \quad (14)$$

is positive except at $t = 0$.

Proof. Let v be the minimum of $p(t)$ on the sphere \mathbb{S}^{d-1} , and let $\lambda = \frac{v}{2}$. Since \mathbb{S}^{d-1} is compact, v is finite. It will suffice to show that the minimum of

$$\tilde{p}(t) \equiv p(t) - \lambda \left(\sum_{i=1}^d t_i^2 \right)^m \quad (15)$$

on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} is greater than 0. This is because $p(t)$ and $\tilde{p}(t)$ are homogeneous polynomials, and for any nonzero $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there is a $y_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, and a $\rho \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, so that $\rho y_0 = y$. This implies that

$$\tilde{p}(y) = \rho^{2m} \tilde{p}(y_0). \quad (16)$$

Therefore $\tilde{p}(t)$ is determined by its values on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} .

Since 0 is not in \mathbb{S}^{d-1} , $v > 0$. Then the minimum of $\tilde{p}(t)$ on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} is $\frac{v}{2}$. Therefore $\tilde{p}(t)$ is never 0 on \mathbb{S}^{d-1} , and $\tilde{p}(t) = 0$ only when $t = 0$. \square

From Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, given a degree $2m$ positive homogeneous polynomial $p(t)$, there is a $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ small enough and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough so that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^d t_i^2 \right)^n (p(t) - \lambda \left(\sum_{i=1}^d t_i^2 \right)^m) \quad (17)$$

is a sum of squares of polynomials.

We would like to transfer this result to elements of $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$, but it does not carry over exactly. If $\gamma(p)(t)$ is a positive homogeneous polynomial, then for large n ,

$$(a - I)^n (p - \lambda (a - I)^m) \quad (18)$$

will be a sum of squares, up to smaller degree terms. A version of this, tailored to our later needs, is presented in the following lemma.

Lemma 5. *Let $p \in \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$, with the degree of p equal to $2m$, that satisfies $\gamma(p)(t) = p_{2m}(t) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t \neq 0$. Then there exists $q_i, r \in \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ so that*

$$p a^n = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k q_i^* q_i \right) + \lambda (a - I)^m a^n + r,$$

where $\deg(q_i) \leq m + n$, and $\deg(r) \leq 2(m + n) - 1$.

In the case that the dimension of \mathfrak{g} is at most 2 there exists $q_i, r \in \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$ so that

$$p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k q_i^* q_i \right) + \lambda (a - I)^m + r,$$

where $\deg(q_i) \leq m$, and $\deg(r) \leq 2m - 1$.

Proof. We will first consider the case when the $\dim(\mathfrak{g}) > 2$. By showing

$$p(a - I)^n = \sum_{i=1}^k q_i^* q_i + \lambda (a - I)^{m+n} + r, \quad (19)$$

the result will follow.

Let \mathcal{F} be the free algebra generated by $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_d$ over \mathbb{C} , with the obvious notion of degree. By defining $\mathbf{x}_l^* = -\mathbf{x}_l$ for each \mathbf{x}_l , \mathcal{F} becomes a $*$ -algebra.

Since \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra, there exists $c_{ij}^l \in \mathbb{C}$ (l is a superscript) so that

$$[x_i, x_j] = \sum_{l=1}^d c_{ij}^l x_l. \quad (20)$$

Define a map α from \mathcal{F} to $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$ by moding out by the relations (?). There is also a map β from \mathcal{F} to $\mathbb{C}[t_1, \dots, t_d]$ obtained by moding out by commutators $[\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j]$, and identifying each \mathbf{x}_l with $i t_l$. Note that β and α are $*$ -algebra homomorphisms.

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\tilde{p} = p - \lambda(a - I)^m$, and let $\tilde{\mathbf{p}} \in \mathcal{F}$ be such that $\deg(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}) = 2m$, $\alpha(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}) = \tilde{p}$, and $\beta(\tilde{\mathbf{p}})(t) = \gamma(\tilde{p})(t)$. For λ sufficiently small by Lemma 4, and sufficiently large n , by Lemma 3 and our assumption on $p_{2m}(t)$, $\beta(\tilde{\mathbf{p}})(t)(\sum_{i=1}^d t_i^2)^n$ can be written as a sum of squares,

$$\beta(\tilde{\mathbf{p}})(t)(\sum_{i=1}^d t_i^2)^n = \gamma(\tilde{p})(t)(\sum_{i=1}^d t_i^2)^n = \sum_{i=1}^k q_i^2(t) \quad (21)$$

for some $q_i(t) \in \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_d]$. Let $\mathbf{q}_i \in \mathcal{F}$ be such that $\deg(\mathbf{q}_i) = \deg(q_i(t))$, and $\beta(\mathbf{q}_i)(t) = q_i(t)$. Since

$$\beta(\tilde{\mathbf{p}})(t)(\sum_{i=1}^d t_i^2)^n - \sum_{i=1}^k q_i^2(t) = 0, \quad (22)$$

it follows that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{p}}(\sum_{i=1}^d \mathbf{x}_i^2)^n - \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{q}_i^2 \in \langle [\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2], [\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_3], \dots, [\mathbf{x}_{d-1}, \mathbf{x}_d] \rangle. \quad (23)$$

This, and the degree bounds for $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}$ and \mathbf{q}_i imply that for some $\mathbf{s}_{i,j,\ell}, \mathbf{d}_{i,j,\nu} \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{p}}(\sum_{i=1}^d \mathbf{x}_i^2)^n - \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{q}_i^2 = \sum_{i,j,\ell,\nu} \mathbf{s}_{i,j,\ell} [\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j] \mathbf{d}_{i,j,\nu}, \quad (24)$$

where $\deg(\mathbf{d}_{i,j,\nu}) + \deg(\mathbf{s}_{i,j,\ell}) \leq 2(m+n-1)$ for all i, j, ℓ, ν . We apply the map α to (24),

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}(\sum_{i=1}^d \mathbf{x}_i^2)^n) - \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha(\mathbf{q}_i^2) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,\ell,\nu} \alpha(\mathbf{s}_{i,j,\ell}) \alpha([\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j]) \alpha(\mathbf{d}_{i,j,\nu}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{p}(a - I)^n - \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha(\mathbf{q}_i)^* \alpha(\mathbf{q}_i) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,\ell,\nu} \alpha(\mathbf{s}_{i,j,\ell}) \alpha([\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j]) \alpha(\mathbf{d}_{i,j,\nu}). \end{aligned}$$

Since the degree of $\alpha([\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j])$ is at most 1, (?) follows.

To prove (?), we follow the proof of (?), noting that any positive homogeneous polynomial in one or two variables can be written as a sum of squares of homogeneous polynomials, instead of appealing to Reznick's Theorem. \square

In a representation dV of the enveloping algebra, we can solve for $dV(p)$ in (?), and allow p to have lower degree terms which are absorbed by the remainder r . This is the form of Lemma 19 that we will later use.

Corollary 6. *Let $p \in \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$, with the degree of p equal to $2m$, that satisfies $p_{2m}(t) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t \neq 0$. Then there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large so that*

$$dV(p) = \sum_{i=1}^k B^{-\frac{n}{2}} dV(q_i^*) dV(q_i) B^{-\frac{n}{2}} + \lambda (B - I)^m + B^{-\frac{n}{2}} dV(r) B^{-\frac{n}{2}},$$

where $\deg(q_i) \leq m + n$, and $\deg(r) \leq 2(m + n) - 1$.

In the case that the dimension of \mathfrak{g} is at most 2 there exists $q_i, r \in \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$ so that

$$dV(p) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k dV(q_i)^* dV(q_i) \right) + \lambda (B - I)^m + dV(r),$$

where $\deg(q_i) \leq m$, and $\deg(r) \leq 2m - 1$.

3. SOME FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS TOOLS

Our main result requires a smoothing effect in terms of B . To this end we compare the $dV(x_i)$'s with B , and expect that for $\psi \in \mathcal{D}^\infty(V)$, $\|dV(x_{i_1}) \dots dV(x_{i_l}) \psi\| \leq C_0 \|B^{\frac{l}{2}} \psi\|$. The following lemmas allow us to conclude this.

Lemma 7. *For any $P, Q, R, Z \in dV(\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g}))$ with $-Z \preceq Q \preceq Z$ and $0 \preceq Z$,*

$$P^* Q R + R^* Q P \preceq C_0 (P^* Z P + R^* Z R).$$

Proof. We first observe that since

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\preceq (P - R)^* Z (P - R) \\ &\preceq P^* Z P + R^* Z R - P^* Z R - R^* Z P, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$P^* Z R + R^* Z P \preceq P^* Z P + R^* Z R.$$

We further observe that

$$-P^* Z P \preceq P^* Q P, \tag{25}$$

and

$$-R^* Z R \preceq R^* Q R. \tag{26}$$

Using (7), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
P^* Q P + R^* Q R + P^* Q R + R^* Q P &= (P + R)^* Q (P + R) \\
&\preceq (P + R)^* Z (P + R) \\
&= P^* Z P + R^* Z R + P^* Z R + R^* Z P \\
&\preceq 2 P^* Z P + 2 R^* Z R.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying (25) and (26) to (26),

$$\begin{aligned}
P^* Q R + R^* Q P &\preceq 2 P^* Z P + 2 R^* Z R - P^* Q P - R^* Q R \\
&\preceq 3 P^* Z P + 3 R^* Z R.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We remark that of course Lemma 7 can be proven for more general families of operators, but content ourselves with the current version, which is sufficient for our purposes.

Lemma 7 allows us to prove our comparison results.

Lemma 8. *Let p be a degree n element of $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then*

$$-C_0 B^{\frac{n}{2}} \preceq dV(p) \preceq C_0 B^{\frac{n}{2}}. \quad (27)$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on n . For the case that $n = 1$, we observe that any $dV(x_l)$ obeys

$$-|dV(x_l)| \preceq dV(x_l) \preceq |dV(x_l)|. \quad (28)$$

Combining the fact that

$$B = \sum_{i \neq l} dV(x_i)^* dV(x_i) + dV(x_l)^* dV(x_l) \succeq dV(x_l)^* dV(x_l), \quad (29)$$

and that x_l commutes with a , it follows that

$$|dV(x_l)| \preceq B^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (30)$$

From (28) and (30), the case $n = 1$ of (27) is verified.

Suppose (28) is true for some $n - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$. It suffices to derive the upper bound for terms of the form

$$p = x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_d^{n_d}, \quad (31)$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^d n_i = n$. We will assume without loss of generality that $n_1, n_d \geq 1$. The argument for other cases follows a similar line of reasoning. By the commutation relations, there is an $r \in \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$ of degree at most $n - 1$ so that

$$p = -\frac{1}{2} x_1^* x_1^{n_1-1} \cdots x_d^{n_d-1} x_d - \frac{1}{2} x_d^* x_1^{n_1-1} \cdots x_d^{n_d-1} x_1 + r. \quad (32)$$

Since $\deg r \leq n - 1$, it follows by the induction hypothesis that

$$-C_0 B^{\frac{n}{2}} \preceq -C_0 B^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \preceq dV(r) \preceq C_0 B^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \preceq C_0 B^{\frac{n}{2}}. \quad (33)$$

Using the induction hypothesis again,

$$-C_0 B^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \preceq -dV(x_1^{n_1-1} \cdots x_d^{n_d-1}) \preceq C_0 B^{\frac{n-2}{2}}. \quad (34)$$

Combining (32), (33), (34), and Lemma 7,

$$\begin{aligned} dV(p) &\preceq C_0 (dV(x_1)^* B^{\frac{n-2}{2}} dV(x_1) \\ &\quad + dV(x_d)^* B^{\frac{n-2}{2}} dV(x_d) + B^n). \end{aligned}$$

Then make the observation that since a commutes with all x_i ,

$$dV(x_i)^* B^{\frac{n-2}{2}} dV(x_i) = (B^{\frac{n-2}{4}})^* dV(x_i)^* dV(x_i) B^{\frac{n-2}{4}}. \quad (35)$$

By applying (29) to (35), and applying that result in turn to (34), the statement (27) holds for n . By induction the lemma follows. \square

If the leading terms of $\gamma(p)(t)$ for a degree $2m$ $p \in \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$ obey a strong positivity condition, then we may use Lemma 8 and Corollary 6 to conclude a sort of equivalence between $dV(p)$ and B^m .

Lemma 9. *For degree $2m$ $p \in \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$ satisfying $p_{2m}(t) > 0$ when $t \neq 0$, there exists $\vartheta, \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ so that*

$$\frac{1}{\delta} B^m \preceq dV(p) + \vartheta I \preceq \delta B^m. \quad (36)$$

Proof. It immediately follows from Lemma 8 that

$$dV(p) + \vartheta I \preceq C_0 B^m. \quad (37)$$

For the other direction we use Corollary 6, assuming without loss of generality that $\dim \mathfrak{g} > 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} dV(p + \vartheta I) &= \sum_{i=1}^k B^{-\frac{n}{2}} dV(q_i^*) dV(q_i) B^{-\frac{n}{2}} + \lambda(B - I)^m \\ &\quad + B^{-\frac{n}{2}} dV(r) B^{-\frac{n}{2}} + \vartheta I \\ &\succeq \lambda(B - I)^m + B^{-\frac{n}{2}} dV(r) B^{-\frac{n}{2}} + \vartheta I. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 8, the Spectral Theorem, and an elementary inequality, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$B^{-\frac{n}{2}} dV(r) B^{-\frac{n}{2}} \succeq -C_0 B^{m-\frac{1}{2}} \succeq -C_0 (\varepsilon B^m + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2m-1}} I). \quad (38)$$

Combining (37) and (38),

$$dV(p + \vartheta I) \succeq \lambda(B - I)^m + \vartheta I - C_0 (\varepsilon B^m + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2m-1}} I). \quad (39)$$

By first picking ε small enough, then ϑ large enough, the result follows. \square

Using the fact that p and a commute, along with Lemma 9, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 10. *For $p \in \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$ of degree $2m$ that obeys $p_{2m}(t) > 0$ when $t \neq 0$, large enough $\vartheta, \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $f \in \mathcal{D}^\infty(V)$, and any $l \in \mathbb{R}^+$,*

$$\frac{1}{\delta} \|B^{\frac{l}{2}} f\| \leq \| (dV(p) + \vartheta I)^{\frac{l}{2m}} f \| \leq \delta \|B^{\frac{l}{2}} f\|. \quad (40)$$

In particular, for any $u \in \mathcal{H}$, $\Pi_{|dV(p+\vartheta I)| \leq \Lambda} u \in \mathcal{D}^\infty(V)$.

4. MAIN RESULTS

Let $p \in \mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$ of degree $2m$ obey $p_{2m}(t) > 0$ when $t \neq 0$. Inspired by the Elliptic Regularity Theorem for PDEs, we might expect that if $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(B^{\frac{\mu}{2}})$, then the solution u of

$$dV(p)u = \psi \quad (41)$$

is in the space $\mathcal{D}(B^{\frac{\mu+m}{2}})$. If we do not know whether $u \in \mathcal{D}(dV(p))$, we may interpret (41) in a $(\mathcal{D}^\infty(V))^*$ sense. That is for all $\zeta \in \mathcal{D}^\infty(V)$,

$$\langle dV(p)^* \zeta, u \rangle = \langle \zeta, \psi \rangle. \quad (42)$$

From Lemma 9, we know that $dV(p)$ is equivalent to B^m , modulo smaller terms. By considering

$$\langle u, dV(p)u \rangle = \langle u, \psi \rangle < \infty,$$

if the smaller terms in $\langle u, dV(p)u \rangle$ are finite, we obtain that u gains an amount of regularity through $dV(p)$. We formalize this argument in the following theorem.

Theorem 11. *Let V be a unitary representation of G , and p a degree $2m$ element of $\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{g})$ that satisfies $p_{2m}(t) > 0$ when $t \neq 0$. If $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(B^{\frac{\mu}{2}})$ for some $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and $u \in \mathcal{H}$ which is a solution to*

$$dV(p)u = \psi, \quad (43)$$

in a $(\mathcal{D}^\infty(V))^$ sense, then $u \in \mathcal{D}(B^{\frac{\mu+m}{2}})$.*

Proof. It is necessary to regularize u in order to bound higher derivatives. To this end we choose ϑ as in Lemma 9, then apply $\Pi_\Lambda \equiv \Pi_{|dV(p+\vartheta I)| \leq \Lambda}$ to (43),

$$dV(p) \Pi_\Lambda u = \Pi_\Lambda \psi.$$

In order to obtain a positive operator acting on $\Pi_\Lambda u$ on the left hand side of (43), we can add $\vartheta \Pi_\Lambda u$ by Lemma 9.

$$dV(p) \Pi_\Lambda u + \vartheta \Pi_\Lambda u = \Pi_\Lambda \psi + \vartheta \Pi_\Lambda u. \quad (44)$$

Multiplying (44) by $(dV(p) + \vartheta I)^{\frac{\mu}{2m}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (dV(p) + \vartheta I)^{1+\frac{\mu}{2m}} \Pi_\Lambda u &= (dV(p) + \vartheta I)^{\frac{\mu}{2m}} \Pi_\Lambda \psi \\ &\quad + \vartheta (dV(p) + \vartheta I)^{\frac{\mu}{2m}} \Pi_\Lambda u. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the inner product of (44) with $(dV(p) + \vartheta I)^{\mu/(2m)} \Pi_\Lambda u$, applying Cauchy-Schwarz, and using Corollary 10,

$$\frac{1}{\delta^2} \|B^{\frac{m+\mu}{2}} \Pi_\Lambda u\|^2 \leq C_0 (\|B^{\frac{\mu}{2}} \Pi_\Lambda u\| \|B^{\frac{\mu}{2}} \psi\| + \vartheta \|B^{\frac{\mu}{2}} \Pi_\Lambda u\|^2).$$

Applying an elementary inequality to the right hand side,

$$\frac{1}{\delta^2} \|B^{\frac{m+\mu}{2}} \Pi_\Lambda u\|^2 \leq C_0 (\|B^{\frac{\mu}{2}} \Pi_\Lambda u\|^2 + \|B^{\frac{\mu}{2}} \psi\|^2 + \vartheta \|B^{\frac{\mu}{2}} \Pi_\Lambda u\|^2).$$

By using the Spectral Theorem and an elementary inequality, for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|B^{\frac{\mu}{2}} \Pi_\Lambda u\|^2 &= \langle \Pi_\Lambda u, B^\mu \Pi_\Lambda u \rangle \\ &\leq C_0 (\varepsilon \langle \Pi_\Lambda u, B^{m+\mu} \Pi_\Lambda u \rangle + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^m} \langle \Pi_\Lambda u, \Pi_\Lambda u \rangle) \\ &\leq C_0 (\varepsilon \|B^{\frac{m+\mu}{2}} \Pi_\Lambda u\|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^m} \|u\|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Inserting (44) into (44), and applying Corollary 10,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\delta^2} \|B^{\frac{m+\mu}{2}} \Pi_\Lambda u\|^2 &\leq C_0 (1 + \vartheta) (\varepsilon \|B^{\frac{m+\mu}{2}} \Pi_\Lambda u\|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^m} \|u\|^2) + C_0 \|B^{\frac{\mu}{2}} \psi\|^2 \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By taking $C_0 \varepsilon (1 + \vartheta) < 1/\delta^2$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \left(\frac{1}{\delta^2} - C_0 \varepsilon (1 + \vartheta) \right) \|B^{\frac{m+\mu}{2}} \Pi_\Lambda u\|^2 &\leq C_0 \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^m} (1 + \vartheta) \|u\|^2 + \|B^{\frac{\mu}{2}} \psi\|^2 \right) \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since the bound in (44) is independent of Λ , by taking the limit as $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$ the result follows. \square

We remark that we can prove the result corresponding to Lemma 11 for elements of the Weyl algebra by using harmonic analysis on the Heisenberg group. This would slightly strengthen our result in [?], which required that u in (41) had more regularity. The proof of Lemma 11 does not apply in this case, since Lemma 8 requires that a commute with all other elements of the algebra. It is an interesting open question to extend Lemma 11 to elements of more general algebras, instead of using the Lie algebra structure.

With Theorem 11, we can prove our main result.

Proof. (Proof of Theorem 2) From Section 1.1, it suffices to prove that if $\kappa \in \mathcal{K}_f$, and $\phi \in \mathcal{H}(U_\kappa)$ satisfies

$$\langle \pi_0(Y^m dU_\kappa(c) Y^m) \phi, \phi \rangle = 0, \quad (45)$$

then $\phi \in \mathcal{D}^\infty(U_\kappa)$.

In [?], it is shown that there is a unitary representation V of G on \mathcal{H}_0 so that

$$\overline{dV(x_k)} = -i\pi_0(Y_{k0})\pi_0(Y)^{-1}, \quad (46)$$

$\mathcal{D}^\infty(V) = \mathcal{D}^\infty(U_\kappa)$, $B = \pi_0(Y)^{-1}$, and $dV(c) > 0$. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{H}(V)$ be such that $\langle \pi_0(Y^m dU(c) Y^m) \phi, \phi \rangle = 0$, and $\tilde{\phi} = B^{-m} \phi$. Since $dV(c)$ is nonnegative,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi_0(Y^m dU_\kappa(c) Y^m) \phi, \phi \rangle &= \langle dV(c) \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\phi} \rangle \\ &= \langle dV(c)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\phi}, dV(c)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\phi} \rangle \\ &= \|dV(c)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\phi}\|^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $dV(c)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\phi} = 0$, so that $dV(c) \tilde{\phi} = 0$. Since $0 \in \mathcal{D}^\infty(V)$, it follows by Theorem 11 that

$$\tilde{\phi} \in \cap_{l=1}^\infty \mathcal{D}(B^l) \equiv \mathcal{D}(B^\infty). \quad (47)$$

By Lemma 8, $\mathcal{D}^\infty(V) = \mathcal{D}(B^\infty)$. We conclude from this that $B^m \tilde{\phi} = \phi \in \mathcal{D}^\infty(U_\kappa)$. \square

BIBLIOGRAPHY

?