

# Sparse binary cyclotomic polynomials

BARTŁOMIEJ BZD([c](#)[E](#))GA

Stróżyńskiego 15A/20  
60-688 Poznań, Poland

*Email:* [exul@wp.pl](mailto:exul@wp.pl)

**ABSTRACT.** We derive a lower and an upper bound for the number of binary cyclotomic polynomials  $\Phi_m$  with at most  $m^{1/2+\varepsilon}$  nonzero terms.

**Keywords:** binary cyclotomic polynomial, nonzero terms

**A.M.S. subject classification:** [11B83](#), [11C08](#)

## INTRODUCTION

A cyclotomic polynomial  $\Phi_m \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is the monic polynomial of minimal degree having all the primitive  $m$ th roots of unity as its zeros. We say that the number  $m$  and the polynomial  $\Phi_m$  are *binary* if  $m$  is a product of two distinct odd primes.

A. Migotti [[Migotti-BinaryBounds](#)] proved that a binary cyclotomic polynomial  $\Phi_m$  has coefficients in  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$  only. The explicit number  $\theta_m$  of nonzero terms of  $\Phi_m$  was derived by L. Carlitz [[Carlitz-BinaryTerms](#)]. He proved that for  $m = pq$  we have  $\theta_m = 2p'q' - 1$ , where  $q'$  denotes the inverse of  $q$  modulo  $p$  and similarly  $p'$  is the inverse of  $p$  modulo  $q$ .

It can be easily proved that for binary  $m$  we have  $m^{1/2} < \theta_m < m/2$ . H.W. Lenstra proved in [[Lenstra-Vanishing](#)] that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist infinitely many binary numbers  $m$  such that  $\theta_m < m^{8/13+\varepsilon}$ . His method is based on the result of C. Hooley [[Hooley](#)] that for every integer  $a \neq 0$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist infinitely many primes  $p$  for which  $P(p-1) > p^{5/8-\varepsilon}$ , where  $P(n)$  denotes the largest prime factor of  $n$ . The constant  $8/13$  is presently the best possible.

The result of C. Hooley has been improved by several authors. The best result to the date is due to R.C. Baker and G. Harman [[BakerHarman](#)], who proved that  $P(p-1) > p^{0.677}$  for infinitely many primes  $p$ . It gives nearly 0.6 instead of  $8/13$ . We cannot improve the result of R.C. Baker and G. Harman, so we present a different method to achieve our goals.

We consider the set  $A_\varepsilon(N)$  of integers  $n < N$  for which  $P(n) > n^{1-\varepsilon}$  and  $P(n+1) > (n+1)^{1-\varepsilon}$ . By the result of A. Hildebrand [[Hildebrand](#)] the set  $A_\varepsilon = A_\varepsilon(\infty)$  has a positive lower density for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We use this fact to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $B_\varepsilon(N)$  denote the set of binary  $m < N$  for which  $\theta_m < m^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ . Then we have*

$$B_\varepsilon(N) = \begin{cases} \Omega(N^{1/2}) & \text{for } 0 < \varepsilon < 1/2, \\ O(N^{1/2+\varepsilon}) & \text{for } 0 < \varepsilon < 1/6, \\ O(N/\log^2 N) & \text{for } 0 < \varepsilon < 1/2, \end{cases}$$

where we used the  $O$  and  $\Omega$  asymptotical notation.

It is a well known result of Landau that

$$\#\{m \leq N : m \text{ binary}\} \sim N \log \log N / \log N.$$

From this and from the third inequality it follows that for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$  the set  $B_\varepsilon = B_\varepsilon(\infty)$  has relative density 0 in the set of binary  $m$ .

#### PROOF OF THE THEOREM

**Part I.** For every  $n \in A_\varepsilon$  put  $p = P(n)$ ,  $q = P(n+1)$  and  $m = pq$ . Then

$$q' = \min \{a > 0 : p \mid aq - 1\} \leq (n+1)/q.$$

By the definition of  $A_\varepsilon$  we have

$$\theta_m < 2qq' \leq 2n + 2 < 2m^{1/(2-2\varepsilon)} + 2 = 2m^{1/2+\varepsilon/(2-2\varepsilon)} + 2 < m^{1/2+\varepsilon}$$

for  $m > m_0$ , where  $m_0$  depends only on  $\varepsilon$ . Moreover

$$n < m^{1/2+\varepsilon} < m,$$

hence  $n = pp' - 1$  or  $n = qq' - 1$ . If both of them are in  $A_\varepsilon$ , then

$$2m^{1/2+\varepsilon} > (pp' - 1) + (qq' - 1) = m - 1.$$

So for  $m > m_1$  (where  $m_1$  also depends only on  $\varepsilon$ ) we can determine  $n$  uniquely by  $m$ . We have  $m > n$ , thus every  $n > m_1$  is determined uniquely by  $m$ .

Let  $M = \max\{m_0, m_1\}$ . By the inequality

$$m \leq n(n+1)/2 < n^2$$

the function

$$f: A_\varepsilon(N^{1/2}) \setminus [1, M] \rightarrow B_\varepsilon(N), \quad f(n) = P(n)P(n+1)$$

is injective and so

$$\#B_\varepsilon(N) = \Omega(\#A_\varepsilon(N^{1/2})) = \Omega(N^{1/2})$$

by the result of A. Hildebrand mentioned in the introduction.

**Part II.** For  $m = pq \in B_\varepsilon$  put  $n = \min\{pp', qq'\} - 1$ . The following facts

$$pp' + qq' = m + 1, \quad (pp')(qq') < (m+1)m^{1/2+\varepsilon}$$

imply that  $n < Cm^{1/2+\varepsilon}$  for some constant  $C$  depending only on  $\varepsilon$ . Also

$$m^{1/2+\varepsilon} > \theta_m = 2p'q' - 1 > p, q,$$

thus  $p, q > m^{1/2-\varepsilon}$ . We have  $p \mid n$  and  $q \mid n+1$  (or inversely). Moreover

$$\frac{\log n}{\log \min\{p, q\}} \leq \frac{\log C + (1/2 + \varepsilon) \log m}{(1/2 - \varepsilon) \log m} = \frac{1/2 + \varepsilon}{1/2 - \varepsilon} + o(1).$$

Thus  $p = P(n)$ ,  $q = P(n+1)$  (or inversely) for  $m$  large enough, because  $(1/2+\varepsilon)/(1/2-\varepsilon) < 2$  for  $\varepsilon < 1/6$ . We define  $m_2$  to be the smallest number satisfying the following condition:

$$\text{if } m > m_2 \text{ then } m = P(n)P(n+1).$$

It is obvious that  $m_2$  depends only on  $\varepsilon$ . Thus the function

$$g: B_\varepsilon(N) \setminus [1, m_2] \rightarrow A_\varepsilon(CN^{1/2+\varepsilon}), \quad g(pq) = \min\{pp', qq'\} - 1$$

is an injection. Finally

$$\#B_\varepsilon(N) = O(\#A_\varepsilon(CN^{1/2+\varepsilon})) = O(N^{1/2+\varepsilon})$$

due to the result of A. Hildebrand.

**Part III.** We assume that  $m = pq$  with  $q > p$ . By the inequality  $\theta_m > q$ , if  $\theta_m < m^{1/2+\varepsilon}$  then  $q < p^{(1/2+\varepsilon)/(1/2-\varepsilon)}$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} B_\varepsilon(N) &= O\left(\sum_{p < N^{1/2-\varepsilon}} (\pi(p^{(1/2+\varepsilon)/(1/2-\varepsilon)}) - \pi(p)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{N^{1/2-\varepsilon} < p < N^{1/2}} (\pi(N^{1/2}) - \pi(p))\right) \\ &= O(\pi(N^{1/2-\varepsilon})\pi(N^{1/2+\varepsilon}) + \pi(N^{1/2})^2) = O(N/\log^2 N), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank Maciej Radziejewski for helpful discussion and Pieter Moree for his remarks.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [BakerHarman]** R.C. Baker and G. Harman, *Shifted primes without large prime factors*, Acta Arith. **83** (1998), 331–361. **[Carlitz-BinaryTerms]** L. Carlitz, *The number of terms in the cyclotomic polynomial  $F_{pq}(x)$* , Amer. Math. Monthly **73** (1966), 979–981. **[Hildebrand]** A. Hildebrand, *On a conjecture of Balog*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **95** (1985), 517–523. **[Hooley]** C. Hooley, *On the largest prime factor of  $p+a$* , Mathematica **20** (1973), 135–143. **[Lenstra-Vanishing]** H.W. Lenstra, *Vanishing sums of roots of unity*, Proceedings, Bicentennial Congress Wiskundig Genootschap, Vrije Univ., Amsterdam (1978), Part II (1979), 249–268. **[Migotti-BinaryBounds]** A. Migotti, *Aur Theorie der Kreisteilungsgleichung*, Z. B. der Math.-Naturwiss, Classe der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Wien, **87** (1883), 7–14.